

FILED

MAY 28 2024

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *Elizabeth A. Brown*
DEPUTY CLERK

1 ROBERT BEADLES
2 10580 N. McCarran Blvd. #115, Apt. 386
3 Reno, NV 89503
4 Appellant, In Pro Per

5 **IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

6 MR ROBERT BEADLES, an individual,
7 Appellant,
8 vs.

NVSC Case No.: 87683
District 1 Case No: 23-OC-00105 1B
District 2 Case No: CV23-01341

9 JAMIE RODRIGUEZ, in her official
10 capacity as Registrar of Voters and in her
11 personal capacity; the WASHOE COUNTY
12 REGISTRAR OF VOTERS, a government
13 agency; ERIC BROWN in his official
14 capacity as WASHOE COUNTY
15 MANAGER and in his personal capacity,
16 ALEXIS HILL in her official capacity as
17 CHAIRWOMAN OF WASHOE COUNTY
18 BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS and in her
19 personal capacity; WASHOE COUNTY,
20 Nevada a political subdivision of the State
21 of Nevada, and DOES I-X; and ROE
22 CORPORATIONS I-X.

23 Respondents.

24 **MOTION TO EXPEDITE RULING ON PETITION FOR REHEARING**

25 **NRAP RULE 40**

26 **I. INTRODUCTION**

27 Pursuant to NRAP Rule 27, I, Robert Beadles, hereby submit this Motion to Expedite

28 the Ruling on my Petition for Rehearing following this Court's affirmation of

judice by lower Court D1. This request is critical due to the

RECEIVED
MAY 28 2024
ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
DEPUTY CLERK

24-18545

1 forthcoming election and the pressing public need to address significant legal issues
2 swiftly. This Courts initial ruling contains substantial legal and procedural errors that
3 significantly impact the principles of justice, accountability, and the integrity of public
4 office, necessitating urgent resolution far before the November general elections.
5

6 **II. NECESSITY FOR REHEARING**

7

8 The court's prior dismissal fundamentally misapprehends the duty of public officials
9 to respond to lawful grievances. This misapprehension of material questions of law or
10 fact, if uncorrected, threatens to create unconstitutional case law and perpetuate
11 injustice. My Petition for Rehearing contends that this Court has overlooked or
12 misapplied critical statutory obligations and jeopardizes the principles of transparency
13 and accountability in governance.
14
15
16

17 **III. ARGUMENT AND ANALYSIS**

18

- 19 1. **Urgency Due to Imminent Election:** The forthcoming election underscores the
20 necessity for swift judicial intervention to ensure that electoral integrity is
21 maintained and that public trust in the legal system is not eroded.
22
- 23 2. **Significant Public Interest and Precedent:** This Court has historically
24 recognized the urgency in cases impacting public governance and electoral
25 integrity. As stipulated under NRAP Rule 27 and Rule 40, an expedited
26 rehearing is essential to prevent an erosion of public trust and ensure that the
27
28

1 upcoming electoral processes are conducted within a just and recognized legal
2 framework.

3 **3. Legal Ramifications of Non-Expedition:** Failure to expedite the Rehearing
4 will create erroneous case law and allow the perpetuation of legal errors that
5 absolve public officials from accountability, undermining the rule of law and
6 denying justice to the citizens of Nevada.
7
8

9 **VI. PRECEDENT FOR EXPEDITED RULINGS:**

10 The Nevada Supreme Court has the authority to expedite proceedings in situations of
11 significant public interest or urgency with elections as shown in *Miller v. Burk*, 124
12 *Nev. 579, 188 P.3d 1112 (Nev. 2008)* and in *Election Integrity Project of Nevada, LLC*
13 *v. Eighth Judicial District Court in and for County of Clark*, 136 *Nev. 804, 473 P.3d*
14 *1021 (Nev. 2020)*. Additionally, NRAP Rule 2 shows this honorable court can
15 expedite its decision or for other good cause.
16
17
18

19 **V. CONCLUSION**

20
21 Your Honor, I am aware of your tremendous caseload, and I apologize for adding to it
22 with my motion and request. However, given the substantial issues at stake and the
23 impending general elections, the need for an expedited rehearing cannot be overstated.
24 This Court plays a pivotal role in ensuring that public officials are held to the highest
25 standards of accountability and that the electoral system operates transparently and
26 justly. I respectfully urge the Court to grant this Motion to Expedite the Rehearing
27
28

1 under NRAP Rule 27 to address these significant issues promptly and to ensure the
2 restoration of public trust in our judicial and electoral systems.

3
4
5 Respectfully submitted,

6
7 By: _____

8
9 ROBERT BEADLES, Appellant In Pro Per,

10 10580 N. McCarran Blvd. #115, Apt. 386,

11
12 Reno, NV 89503 916-573-7133

13
14
15 **AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030**

16 The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document
17
18 does not contain the Social Security Number of any person.

19 DATED: May 28th, 2024.


20
21
22
23
24
25 Robert Beadles, Appellant In Pro Per

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that on May 28th, 2024, I served all parties by electronically emailing the defense counsel and by sending via first-class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to Lindsay Liddell, the respondents' defense attorney.

Haldeman, Suzanne shaldeman@da.washoecounty.gov
Hickman, Elizabeth ehickman@da.washoecounty.gov
Liddell, Lindsay L lliddell@da.washoecounty.gov

And mailed to:
One South Sierra Street Reno, Nevada 89501



Robert Beadles, Appellant In Pro Per