1		
2	ROBERT BEADLES 10580 N. McCarran Blvd. #115, Apt. 386	
3	Reno, NV 89503	
4	Plaintiff, Pro Se	
5	FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF NEVADA CARSON CITY	
6		
7	MR ROBERT BEADLES, an individual,	Case No.: 23 OC 00105 1B
8	Plaintiff,	Dept. No.: 1
9	VS.	
10	JAMIE RODRIGUEZ, in her official capacity as	
11	Registrar of Voters and in her personal capacity; the WASHOE COUNTY REGISTRAR OF VOTERS, a government agency; ERIC BROWN in his official capacity as WASHOE COUNTY	
12		
13	MANAGER and in his personal capacity, ALEXIS HILL in her official capacity as CHAIRWOMAN	
14	OF WASHOE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS and in her personal capacity;	
15 16	WASHOE COUNTY, Nevada a political subdivision of the State of Nevada, and DOES I-X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I-X.	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19	MOTION TO STAV ENEODCEMENT OF H	LIDCMENT DENIDING ADDEAL
20	MOTION TO STAY ENFORCEMENT OF J	UDGMENT FENDING AFFEAL
21	Pursuant to NRCP Rule 62	
22		
23	I. INTRODUCTION	
24	This motion is submitted by Robert Beadles, the Plainti	ff in this court and Appellant in the
25	Supreme Court case #87683, seeking an immediate stay of enforcement of the judgment pending	
26	the appeal's outcome, under Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 62.	
77	1	

II. NECESSITY OF STAY PENDING APPEAL

Irreparable Harm and Prejudice to Appellant: The enforcement of the judgment during the appeal process poses a significant risk of irreparable harm and prejudice to the Appellant. The immediate enforcement of the judgment, including the award of costs to the Respondents, would unfairly punish me, when I believe and the law supports that my case should never have been dismissed, especially when the mountains of evidence and allegations are in conformance with NRCP Rule 8(a)(2), which, based solely on law, should defeat an NRCP Rule 12(b)(5). An example of this is that I have standing court orders, exhibit 72, which grant me the right to observe the entire vote-counting process; I was denied, as were all Nevadans. Therefore, according to NRCP Rule 8(a)(2) - a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief - I have met the first part of the rule, in stating a claim. The second part of the rule states I must be entitled to relief, where I asked the court to enjoin the defendants to follow the court orders, this conforms to the entire Rule 8(a)(2) which overcomes a Rule 12(b)(5) motion, in this one of hundreds of examples I can list. It is crucial that the Supreme Court rules on whether NRCP Rule 8(a)(2) and Rule 12(b)(5) are now interpreted differently from what all case law shows, and if court orders are no longer worth the paper they are printed on. I ask you to stay the defense's motion and the court orders, and allow the Supreme Court to rule first, before enforcing the payment of any attorney fees and costs.

Preservation of the Status Quo: Granting a stay would preserve the status quo while the appeal is under consideration. This is crucial to prevent any change in circumstances that could render the appeal moot or significantly alter the Appellant's position.

Serious Legal Questions Raised: The appeal raises substantial questions of law, particularly concerning the alleged unlawful dismissals and procedural irregularities. The significance of these legal questions warrants a stay to ensure they are fully and fairly adjudicated.

III. BOND OR SECURITY (if applies)

It's debatable what subsection under NRCP Rule 62 applies, several could, so just to cover all the bases I have attached a check for full payment of all the defense attorney fees and costs the defense has requested.

Provision of Security: In accordance with NRCP Rule 62(d), if this rule should apply, I am prepared to provide a bond or other form of security to stay the enforcement of the judgment. A check, representing the defense's attorney costs and fees as security, is attached herewith. This check will be held by the defense and only cashed should the appeal and my motions be resolved unfavorably to me.

Adequacy of Security: The provision of this check as security demonstrates my commitment to satisfying any obligations as determined by the final outcome of the appeal. It also underscores my confidence in the merits of my appeal and my intention to abide by the court's decision.

IV. CONCLUSION AND PRAYER FOR RELIEF

In light of the arguments presented and the security provided, I request this Court to grant a stay of enforcement of the judgment pending the resolution of the appeal. This relief is sought to prevent irreparable harm, maintain the status quo, and ensure the thorough and fair adjudication of the significant legal questions at hand.

1	Dated: 12/13/23	
2		
3	Submitted,	
4		
5	By:	
6	ROBERT BEADLES, Plaintiff Pro Se	
7		
8		
9	AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030	
10		
11	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the	
12	Social Security Number of any person.	
13	DATED: December 13 th , 2023.	
14		
15	Robert Beadles, Plaintiff	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
77	4	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
2		
3		
4	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that on December 13th, 2023, I served all parties by	
5	electronically emailing the defense counsel and by sending via first-class mail with sufficient	
6	postage prepaid to Lindsay Liddell, the respondents' defense attorney.	
7		
8	Haldeman, Suzanne shaldeman@da.washoecounty.gov	
9	Hickman, Elizabeth ehickman@da.washoecounty.gov	
10	Liddell, Lindsay L lliddell@da.washoecounty.gov	
11		
12	And mailed to:	
13	One South Sierra Street Reno, Nevada 89501	
14		
15		
16		
17	Robert Beadles, Plaintiff	
18		
19 20		
20		
21 22		
22		
23		
24		
26		
20	5	