1	ROBERT BEADLES	
2	10580 N. McCarran Blvd. #115, Apt. 386 Reno, NV 89503	
3	Plaintiff, Pro Se	
4	FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRI CARSO	ICT COURT OF NEVADA N CITY
5	MR RODERT READLES on individual	G N 22 0010511
6	MR ROBERT BEADLES, an individual,	Case No.: 230c001051b
7	Plaintiff,	
8	vs.	Department: 1
9	JAMIE RODRIGUEZ, in her official capacity as Registrar of Voters and in her personal	Department. 1
10	capacity; the WASHOE COUNTY REGISTRAR OF VOTERS, a government agency; ERIC BROWN in his official capacity	
11	as WASHOE COUNTY MANAGER and in his	
12	personal capacity, ALEXIS HILL in her official capacity as CHAIRWOMAN OF WASHOE	
13	CÔUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS and in her personal capacity; WASHOE	
14	COUNTY, Nevada a political subdivision of the	
15	State of Nevada, and DOES I-X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I-X.	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18		
19	<b><u>REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT ON</u> PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STAY ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT PENDING</b>	
	APPEAL	
20		
21	I. INTRODUCTION	
22		
23	The Plaintiff-Appellant, Robert Beadles, respect	fully requests leave of the Court to file the
24	attached Motion for Oral Argument on the Plaintiff-Appellant's Motion to Stay Enforcement of	
25	Ť	*
26		
77		l

Judgment Pending Appeal. This request is made in accordance with RULES OF PRACTICE FOR THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Rule 3.13. Reconsideration of orders.

(a) Leave required. Issues once heard and disposed of will not be renewed in the same cause except by leave of court granted upon motion. The court may reconsider a decision if the court overlooked or misunderstood a material fact, or overlooked, misunderstood, or misapplied law that directly controls a dispositive issue.

## **II. NECESSITY FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION**

Given the critical nature of the Plaintiff-Appellant's Motion to Stay Enforcement of Judgment Pending Appeal and the Court's decision to deny it without oral hearing, the Plaintiff-Appellant seeks the Court's permission to file a motion for oral argument. The Plaintiff-Appellant firmly believes that an oral hearing will provide an essential platform for making my record, with a detailed and comprehensive discussion of the issues at hand, which is pivotal for the fair resolution of this matter.

## **III. REASONS FOR GRANTING LEAVE**

**Enhanced Judicial Review:** The complexity and significance of the legal and factual issues in the present case necessitate a more dynamic and interactive form of review that oral arguments can facilitate.

**Precedent and Fairness:** Granting leave to file this motion aligns with judicial precedent that recognizes the value of oral arguments in ensuring justice and fairness, especially in complex legal matters.

**Equity in Judicial Process:** Allowing the Plaintiff-Appellant the opportunity for oral argument ensures equitable treatment under the law, affording the Plaintiff-Appellant a full and fair chance to present my case.

## **IV. Legal Basis for Granting Leave**

In accordance with the legal principle that leave should be freely given, as stated in NRCP 15(a)(2), there is no presence of undue delay, bad faith, or dilatory motive on my part. This ethos is further supported by legal precedents, including *Stephens v. S. Nev. Music Co. 89 Nev. 104,* 105-06, 507 P.2d 138, 139 (1973), DeSoto v. Yellow Freight Sys., Inc., 957 F.2d 655, 658 (9th Cir. 1992), and Nutton v. Sunset Station, Inc., Court of Appeals of Nevada, June 11, 2015, 131 Nev. 279, 357 P.3d 966. These cases reinforce the notion that in the absence of detrimental factors, the court should grant leave to ensure justice and procedural fairness.

## V. CONCLUSION

In consideration of the importance of oral argument in complex legal matters and the need for a thorough and equitable judicial review, the Plaintiff-Appellant respectfully requests that the Court grant this request for leave to file the Motion for Oral Argument. This request is made in the interest of justice and to ensure a fair and comprehensive consideration of the Plaintiff-Appellant's Motion to Stay Enforcement of Judgment Pending Appeal.

1		
2	Respectfully submitted,	
3		
4		
5	Dated: 01/09/24	
6	Submitted,	
7		
8	By:	
9	ROBERT BEADLES, Plaintiff Pro Se	
10		
11		
12	AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030	
13	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the Social	
14 15		
15	Security Number of any person.	
10	DATED: January 9th, 2024.	
18		
19	Robert Beadles, Plaintiff	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
77	4	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that on January 9th, 2024, I served all parties by
3	electronically emailing the defense counsel and by sending via first-class mail with sufficient
4	postage prepaid to Lindsay Liddell, the respondents' defense attorney.
5	
6	Haldeman, Suzanne shaldeman@da.washoecounty.gov
7 8	Hickman, Elizabeth ehickman@da.washoecounty.gov
8 9	Liddell, Lindsay L lliddell@da.washoecounty.gov
10	And mailed to:
11	One South Sierra Street Reno, Nevada 89501
12	
13	
14	
15	Robert Beadles, Plaintiff
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
20 27	5