ROBERT BEADLES
10580 N. McCarran Blvd. #115, Apt. 386
Reno, NV 89503
Appellant, In Pro Per

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MR ROBERT BEADLES, an individual,

Appellant,

vs.

JAMIE RODRIGUEZ, in her official
capacity as Registrar of Voters and in her
capacity as Registrar of Voters and in her personal capacity; the WASHOE COUNTY
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS, a government
agency; ERIC BROWN in his official
agency; ERIC BROWN in his official capacity as WASHOE COUNTY
MANAGER and in his personal capacity,
ALEXIS HILL in her official capacity as
CHAIRWOMAN OF WASHOE COUNTY
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS and in her
personal capacity; WASHOE COUNTY,
Nevada a political subdivision of the State
of Nevada, and DOES I-X; and ROE
CORPORATIONS I-X.

NVSC Case No.: 87683 District 1 Case No: 23-OC-00105 1B District 2 Case No: CV23-01341

Respondents.

MOTION TO EXPEDITE RULING

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to NRAP Rule 27, Appellant, Robert Beadles, respectfully

submits this Motion to Expedite Ruling regarding the appeal from the

dismissal with prejudice under Rule 12(b)(5) of the Nevada Rules of Civil

Procedure. This motion is crucial due to the imminent nature of the

upcoming election and the significant public interest in resolving the legal issues at hand promptly.

II. BACKGROUND

On 12/11/23, I filed my Opening Appellate Brief challenging the lower court's dismissal with prejudice under Rule 12(b)(5). The brief, among other facts and evidence, demonstrated adherence to NRCP Rule 8(a)(2), effectively contesting the basis for dismissal. As of this date, it has been 33 days since the filing of my Opening Appellate Brief. The Respondents are not required to respond to my brief, and there is zero chance of a successful settlement conference; therefore, I ask this honorable court to expedite the ruling in the best interests of all parties and the public.

III. ARGUMENT

Urgency Due to Upcoming Election: The matters at issue in this case are directly pertinent to the forthcoming election, which is only five months away. The resolution of these issues is imperative to ensure the lawfulness of the electoral process.

Absence of Respondents' Response: Given that the Respondents are not obligated to respond to my brief, per NRAP Rules 31 and 46, and there is no chance of a successful settlement conference, I urge this Honorable Court to move forward with its ruling based on my brief, my submitted pleadings, and all 170 exhibits submitted to this and the lower courts.

Precedent for Expedited Rulings: The Nevada Supreme Court has the authority to expedite proceedings in situations of significant public interest or urgency with elections as shown in Miller v. Burk, 124 Nev. 579, 188
P.3d 1112 (Nev. 2008) and in Election Integrity Project of Nevada, LLC v. Eighth Judicial District Court in and for County of Clark, 136 Nev. 804, 473 P.3d 1021 (Nev. 2020). Additionally, NRAP Rule 2 shows this honorable court can expedite its decision or for other good cause.

Need for Swift Resolution: An expedited ruling is imperative in this case. Should the Court decide in my favor, immediate relocation of the trial to a neutral county and the selection of a jury are critical steps, both of which

are notably time-consuming. This urgency is compounded by recent developments: Respondent Rodriguez's resignation as ROV and the appointment of a seemingly unqualified successor. Furthermore, unresolved issues raised in my complaint persist. The respondents' media team, as evidenced in Exhibits 168-170, is disseminating misleading information, directly impacting the forthcoming election. Contradictorily, while the District Attorney's Office has indicated the feasibility of implementing Vice Chair Commissioner Herman's election integrity measures, the respondents' media representation erroneously labels these safeguards as potentially illegal. A prompt and favorable ruling would address these multifaceted concerns, ensuring clarity and integrity in the electoral process.

IV. CONCLUSION

Your Honor, I am aware you have a tremendous caseload, and I apologize for adding to your workload with my motion and request. However, with the election just five months away, for any hope of having a lawful election by then, this case must be allowed to move forward. I believe I have overcome the NRCP Rule 12(b)(5) dismissal with prejudice, as I have over 100 allegations in which I can be granted remedy by this court, a lower court, or a jury, thus adhering to NRCP Rule 8(a)(2). My court orders, Exhibit 72 alone, and the respondents' violations (Exhibits 23-24) should overturn Judge Russell's ruling.

It is imperative that this case moves forward as swiftly as legally possible to ensure Washoe has lawful elections and to avoid setting an erroneous precedent by allowing cases to be dismissed under NRCP Rule 12(b)(5) when they clearly adhere to NRCP Rule 8(a)(2). I respectfully ask you to expedite this ruling in the pursuit of justice and the best interests of the public, ensuring a lawful and fair electoral process.

1	Respectfully submitted,
2	
3	By:
4	
5	ROBERT BEADLES, Appellant In Pro Per,
6	10580 N. McCarran Blvd. #115, Apt. 386,
7	Dama NW 90502 016 572 7122
8	Reno, NV 89503 916-573-7133
9	
10	
11	
12	
13 14	AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030
14	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document
16	does not contain the Social Security Number of any person.
17	
18	DATED: January 12 th , 2024.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Robert Beadles, Appellant In Pro Per
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	6

1		
2		
3		
4	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>	
5		
6	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that on January 12 th , 2024, I served	
7	all parties by electronically emailing the defense counsel and by sending via	
8	first-class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to Lindsay Liddell, the respondents' defense attorney.	
9		
10	Haldeman, Suzanne shaldeman@da.washoecounty.gov	
11	Hickman, Elizabeth ehickman@da.washoecounty.gov Liddell, Lindsay L lliddell@da.washoecounty.gov	
12	Lidden, Lindsay L indden@da.washoecounty.gov	
13	And mailed to:	
14	One South Sierra Street Reno, Nevada 89501	
15		
16		
17	Robert Beadles, Appellant In Pro Per	
18	Robert Deadles, Appenant in 1101 er	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	7	
	, , ,	